

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 093 999

TM 003 856

AUTHOR Lynch, Mervin D.; And Others
TITLE Development of the Minimood and Some Evidence of Its Validity and Stability.
PUB DATE [Apr 74]
NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (59th, Chicago, Illinois, April 1974)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS *Anxiety; Elementary School Students; *Individual Characteristics; *Personality Tests; Test Construction; *Test Reliability; *Test Validity
IDENTIFIERS Aptitude Treatment Interaction; *Minimood; Moods

ABSTRACT

The "Minimood" is the synonym chosen from the adult mood adjective checklist (MACL) to measure moods of elementary children. Ratings were obtained on mood and Children's Manifest Anxiety Scales (CMAS) for a 50-subject pilot and a 206-subject developmental sample in grades 1, 3, and 5. Twelve mood factors were identified: Aggression, Fatigue, Social Affection, Anxiety, Elation-egotism, Clowning, and Concentration. Nine correspond to adult MACL factors, and seven were stable across characteristics. The CMAS loaded with two factors on the pilot but was unique on the developmental sample, suggesting that the Minimood measures state, not trait anxiety. (Author)

ED 093999

20.20

DEVELOPMENT OF THE MINIMOOD AND
SOME EVIDENCE ON ITS VALIDITY AND STABILITY

By

Mervin D. Lynch
Northeastern University

John Chaves
Medfield Foundation

and

Annette Roth
Northeastern University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

TM 003 856

Presented at the American Educational Research Association
April 15 - 19, 1974, Chicago, Illinois

A child's aptitudes and personality traits may markedly influence his behavior in the classroom and in other educational settings. However, variability in his day-to-day behavior may be due to alterations in labile attributes which may be characterized by mood states. The study of mood states, as with other variables categorized as aptitude--treatment interactions, is predicated on the development of measures appropriate to making assessments at the elementary grade levels. The present research was designed to: (1) develop adjective items for a mood state measure labeled the minimood; (2) provide evidence on the validity and stability of this mood state measure; and (3) evaluate the possibility that scales of the minimood may be applied as measures of state anxiety.

Background

Nowlis (1970) defined mood as a "multidimensional set of temporarily reversible dispositions." According to Nowlis, moods are "monitorings" which reflect general patterns of psycho-biological functioning and orientation. To study the factors of mood state, Nowlis and Green (1957, 1961) developed a mood adjective checklist (MACL) and obtained ratings which were subjected to repeated factor analysis. These analyses led to the identification of twelve factors of mood state: aggression, anxiety, surgency, elation, concentration, fatigue, vigor or activation, social affection, sadness, skepticism, egoism and nonchalance. Items designative of each of these factors have been identified in subsequent research (McNair and Lorr, 1964; Zuckerman and Lubin, 1965; Lubin, 1966; Thayer, 1967; and Cattell, 1963). The items used in these more

recent studies are appropriate for the assessment of adult mood states, but due to their complexity and vocabulary level, are not appropriate for measurements on elementary school children.

Of the factors identified by adult mood scales, anxiety appeared to be one of the more interesting to explore at the elementary grade levels. Two types of anxiety are usually differentiated: (1) temporary or state anxiety, and (2) chronic or trait anxiety (Spielberger, 1971; Cattell, 1961). There are at least two measures of trait anxiety for children, the Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale (CMAS) developed by Castenada et. al. (1956) and the General Anxiety and Test Anxiety Scales developed by Sarason et. al. (1960). No scales are currently available that provide a measure of state anxiety in elementary school children and such a measure should be especially useful. Hence, one focus of the present research, was to explore the possibility that scales representing mood state factors may provide generalized indices of state anxiety.

Methods and Procedure

This research was conducted in two steps: (1) a pilot study and (2) a developmental study. In both steps, the selection of mood scales was made by graduate students in education. These students generated items which preserved the adult mood-scale concept of the MACL items but were appropriate to the vocabulary level of children in grades 1 through 6. Subsequently, graduate students rated each item in terms of its representativeness of the concept of the corresponding MACL items and its applicability to measurement on elementary grade level children. On the basis

of these ratings, 30 mood state items were selected for use in the pilot study, and 60 mood state items were selected for use in the developmental study.

In both steps, ratings were obtained on a 5 point scale in response to the question, "How do you feel at this time?" The scale choices were "very much, pretty much, in between, a little bit, not at all. All tests were administered orally with testing time ranging from 30 minutes to an hour inclusive of occasional rest periods. Ratings were obtained on both the mood state items and the CMAS to enable comparisons on mood item factors and anxiety. The order of presentation of CMAS and mood items was alternated to control for possible test-order bias.

Subjects

Fifty children in grades 1, 3 and 5 in a suburban Boston school system were the subjects for the pilot study. In the developmental study the subjects were 206 children, 65 in grade 1, 71 in grade 3 and 70 in grade 5, selected as a quota sampling from the metropolitan Boston area. Of these 206 children, there were 106 girls, 100 boys; 47 upper class, 88 middle class, 48 lower class and 23 of unidentified socio-economic status; and 81 were familiar to testers while 125 were strangers to the testers.

Methods of Analysis

Ratings obtained in pilot and developmental studies were each subjected to correlation and factor analysis. The Principal Components method of factor analysis and Varimax Rotation were used. Factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 and with at least three

factor loadings greater than .40 were retained and interpreted. Factorial cross validations were made on the data in the developmental study. In the cross validations, separate factor analyses were made for subjects of each sex, grade, socio-economic status and familiarity level. Comparisons of factor matrices between levels on each of the characteristics were made with the Least Squares Transform to identify similarity and stability in factors. The criterion for stability was that there would have to be at least three scales descriptive of each factor with rotated factor loadings of .30 or greater on at least 7 of 11 factor analyses.

Results

Pilot Study

Four factors were extracted from the factor analysis on the ratings for the 50 subjects in the pilot study and these were labeled according to the items which characterized each factor. The factors, designative items, and factor loadings were respectively as follows: (1) Aggression: angry-.86, mean -.82, grumpy -.87, nasty -.77 and grouchy -.69; (2) Fatigue: tired -.85, sleepy -.77 and droopy -.65; (3) Social Affection: happy -.76, loving -.75, kind -.72 and friendly -.63; and (4) Anxiety: scared -.75, afraid -.71 and shy -.48. These four factors were similar to factors of mood identified by Nowlis using adult subjects. The CMAS had loadings of .40 or greater on two factors, Anxiety and Fatigue.

Developmental Study

Thirteen identifiable factors were extracted from the factor analysis on the ratings for the 206 subjects in the developmental sample. Twelve factors were characterized by at least three mood

scales with rotated factor loadings of .40 or greater. Table 1 presents the rotated factor loadings for these 12 factors and a list of characteristics where stability and instability was shown.¹ Eight of the 12 factors were shown as stable on at least 7 of the 11 factor analyses. These were: fatigue, elation, anxiety, concentration, aggression, sadness, activation and social affection. Four factors, clowning, confidence, skepticism and surgency showed evidence supporting stability on less than 5 out of the 11 factor analyses and were considered unstable.

--Table 1 About Here--

The thirteenth factor was uniquely characterized by the CMAS measure on all 11 factor analyses. Table 2 presents the rotated factor loadings for the CMAS measure on the 13 factors. The largest rotated factor loading for the CMAS, .69, was shown on the unique factor, but this factor also showed rotated factor loadings for the CMAS of .15 or greater on four mood state factors, anxiety, concentration, social affection and fatigue.

--Table 2 About Here--

Discussion of Results

The factor analysis on the developmental study resulted in the isolation of twelve factors, ten of which were similar in the scale designation and definition to the adult factors identified by Nowlis and Green (1957), McNair and Lorr (1964) and Thayer (1967).

¹ The Tables for all eleven factor analyses and the Least Squares Transforms are available upon request from the authors.

These factors were: fatigue, elation, anxiety, concentration, aggression, sadness, activation, skepticism, surgency and social affection. Two of the twelve factors were dimensions of mood state that were apparently applicable to children but not adults, confidence and clowning.

Eight of the twelve factors were shown to be stable on at least 7 of the 11 factor analyses. This evidence for stability as well as the consistency in dimensionality between mood states found in this study and in prior research with adults lend strong support to the belief that these dimensions represent a relatively general set of constructs for mood state. Further, these findings support the items which designate each factor as measures of mood state constructs for children.

In the developmental study, the CMAS measure of anxiety loaded primarily on a unique factor in all eleven factor analyses. This contrasts with findings in the pilot study that the CMAS variable split on two mood state factors. However, it would be expected that measures of state and trait anxiety should correlate when anxiety is high but not when anxiety is low. The pilot study was conducted during the school year in a school setting where test anxiety may have been high. Children in the developmental study were tested during the summer in relatively relaxed situations where test anxiety may have been low.

The CMAS had rotated factor loadings of .15 or greater on four mood state factors and such a moderate correlation perhaps is what one should expect between measures of state and trait anxiety in conditions as in the developmental study where anxiety may have been low.

At least four of the mood state factors are similar to anxiety constructs suggested in writings of Horney (1937), Sullivan (1953) and Lazarus (1961). The items which characterize anxiety, such as scared, afraid, strange and nervous, seem indicative of a generalized fear reaction, such as one would get from withdrawal from something one does not understand, a reaction which Horney (1957) has argued will be associated with anxiety. The mood state items designative of fatigue such as drowsy, tired, sleepy, lazy and worn out are those which seem to indicate a feeling of tiredness which both Sullivan (1953) and Lazarus (1961) have suggested will be associated with anxiety.

The items which characterize concentration, such as like concentrating, like listening, full of thought and like paying attention, are suggestive of focusing of attention. The "not at all" end of responses on these scales may be indicative of difficulty in focusing attention, and Horney has suggested that anxiety will accompany such a diffuseness of attention. The items such as mean, nasty, selfish, angry, grumpy and mad which are designative of aggression, may suggest an inability to release aggressive impulses. In these connection, Horney (1937) has proposed that hostility which is produced by unreleased aggressive impulses is associated with anxiety. However, the CMAS had a factor loading of only .04 on the aggression factor, and it is possible that the mood state of aggression is uncorrelated with anxiety levels.

Thus, there is literature which suggests that at least four mood state factors, aggression, concentration, fatigue and

anxiety may provide measures of state anxiety. We are presently investigating the possibility that scales designative of these factors will measure changes in state anxiety produced in experimental situations. We hope to apply the scales representative of the eight stable mood state factors to assess effects on classroom achievement of differential mood states as part of a more general research effort on aptitude treatment interaction.

References

- Castenada, A., McCandless, B., and Palermo, D. "The children's form of the manifest anxiety scale." Child Development, 1956, 27:3, 317-26.
- Cattell, R. and Scheiner, I. The meaning and measurement of neuroticism and anxiety. The Ronald Press Co., New York, 1961.
- Cattell, R. "Personality, role, mood and situation-perception." Psychological Review, 1963, 70, 1-18.
- Green, R. The measurement of mood. Technical Report, Office of Naval Research: Contract No. Nonr-668 (12) 1964.
- Horney, K. The neurotic personality of our time. The Norton Company, New York, 1961.
- Lazarus, R. Adjustment and personality. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
- Lubin, B. "Fourteen brief depression adjective checklists." Archive of General Psychiatry. 1966, 15:205-208.
- McNair, D. and Lorr, M. "An analysis of mood in neurotics." Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1964, 69, 620-627.
- Nowlis, V. "Research with the mood adjective checklist." In S. S. Tomkins and C. E. Izard (Eds.) Affect, cognition and personality. Springer, New York, 1965.
- Nowlis, V. "Mood: behavior and experience," In M. Arnold (Eds.) Feeling and emotions. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- Nowlis, V. and Green, R. The experimental analysis of mood, Technical Report, Office of Navale Research: Contract No. Nonr-668 (12) 1961.
- Sarason, S., et. al. Anxiety in elementary school children. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1960.
- Spielberger, C. and Gaudry, T. Anxiety and educational achievement. Sydney: The Griffin Press, 1971.
- Sullivan, H. S. The interpersonal theory of psychiatry. The Norton Company, New York, 1953.
- Thayer, R. E. "Measurement of activation through self-report." Psychology Reports, 1967, 20, 663-678.
- Zuckerman, M. and Lubin, B. "Normative data for the multiple affect adjective checklist." Psychology Reports, 1965, 16, 438.

TABLE 1
ROTATED FACTOR LOADINGS FOR THE TWELVE FACTORS AND LIST
OF CHARACTERISTICS WHERE STABILITY OR INSTABILITY WAS FOUND

	Rotated Factor Loadings	Stability	Instability
<u>Fatigue</u>			
Drowsy	-.73	Males & Females	
Tired	-.68	Grades 3 & 5	Grade 1
Sleepy	-.66	Familiar & Stranger	
Lazy	-.64	Upper, Middle, and	
Worn Out	-.63	Lower SES	
% of Total Variance	12.21		
<u>Elation</u>			
Fantastic	.72	Males & Females	
Super	.70	Grades 1, 3 & 5	
Fabulous	.62	Familiar & Stranger	None
Happy	.54	Upper, Middle and	
Lively	.46	Lower SES	
% of Total Variance	10.21		
<u>Anxiety</u>			
Scared	-.78	Males & Females	
Afraid	-.72	Grades 1, 3 & 5	
Strange	-.66	Familiar & Stranger	None
Nervous	-.47	Upper, Middle and	
% of Total Variance	9.17	Lower SES	
<u>Concentration</u>			
Like Concentrating	-.72	Males & Females	
Like Listening	-.62	Grades 1, 3 & 5	
Full of Thought	-.51	Familiar & Stranger	
Like Paying Attention	-.41	Upper SES	Middle and
% of Total Variance	8.62		Lower SES
<u>Aggression</u>			
Nasty	.77	Males & Females	
Mean	.62	Grades 1, 3 & 5	
Selfish	.48	Familiar & Stranger	None
Angry	.47	Upper, Middle and	
% of Total Variance	8.05	Lower SES	
<u>Sadness</u>			
Upset	-.76	Males & Females	
Sorry	-.58	Grade 1	Grades 3 & 5
Sad	-.43	Familiar	Stranger
% of Total Variance	7.67	Middle & Lower SES	Upper SES
<u>Activation</u>			
Speedy	.78	Males & Females	
Going Fast	.70	Grades 1, 3 & 5	
Strong	.58	Familiar & Stranger	
Active	.50	Upper & Middle SES	Lower SES
% of Total Variance	7.63		
<u>Confidence</u>			
Calm	-.56	Males & Females	
Proud	-.54	Grade 1	Grades 3 & 5
Worthy	-.69	Stranger	Familiar
Relaxed	-.41		Upper, Middle
% of Total Variance	6.60		and Lower SES
<u>Clowning</u>			
Silly	-.66	Males	Females
Funny	-.63	Grade 3	Grades 1 & 5
Jumpy	-.56	Familiar	Stranger
Peppy	-.40	Lower SES	Middle and
% of Total Variance	6.58		Upper SES
<u>Skepticism</u>			
Not Trusting	-.55	Females	Males
Not Sure	-.54		Grades 1, 3 & 5
Suspicious	-.48	Stranger	Familiar
% of Total Variance	5.66	Middle SES	Upper and
			Lower SES
<u>Surgency</u>			
Playful	-.78		Males & Females
Excited	-.45	Grade 5	Grades 1 & 3
Happy	-.42	Stranger	Familiar
% of Total Variance	4.96	Upper SES	Middle and
			Lower SES
<u>Social Affecting</u>			
Warm	-.63	Males	Females
Like Listening	-.62	Grades 3 & 5	Grade 1
Friendly	-.53	Familiar & Stranger	
% of Total Variance	4.30	Upper & Lower SES	Middle SES

Table 2

ROTATED FACTOR LOADINGS FOR CMAS ON THIRTEEN FACTORS

<u>Factors</u>	<u>Rotated Factor Loadings for CMAS</u>
Fatigue	-.17
Elation	-.12
Anxiety	-.20
Concentration	-.20
Aggression	.02
Sadness	-.10
Activation	-.10
Confidence	.07
Clowning	-.01
Skepticism	-.06
Surgency	-.07
Social Affection	-.21
CMAS	.67